



#16  
PA. 1/23/02

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

(Case No. 98,666)

In re Application of: )  
 )  
John G. Fijolek et al. ) Examiner: Koenig, Andrew Y.  
 )  
Serial No. 09/217,347 )  
 )  
Filed: December 21, 1998 ) Art Unit: 2611  
 )  
For: Method and System for Dynamic Service )  
Registration in a Data Communication )  
System )

RECEIVED

DEC 18 2002

Technology Center 2600

**EIGHTH SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION  
DISCLOSURE STATEMENT LETTER**

Commissioner for Patents  
Washington, D.C. 20231

Dear Sir:

Pursuant to the duty of disclosure provided by 35 C.F.R. § 1.56 and §§ 1.97-98, the applicants wish to make the following references of record in the above-identified application. Copies of the references are enclosed. Copies of the references are also listed in the PTO-1449 form enclosed herewith. It is requested that the documents be given careful consideration and that they be cited of record in the prosecution history of the present application so that they will appear on the face of the patent issuing from the present application. Also, enclosed is the requisite fee pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.97(c) and 1.17 (p), \$180.00.

Portions of the references may be material to the examination of the pending claims, however no such admission is intended. 37 C.F.R. 1.97 (h). The references have not been reviewed in sufficient detail to make any other representation and, in particular,

12/18/2002 NEW/INTEL 00000094 09217347

03 FC:1800

180.00 US

references. Further, no item of information contained in this information disclosure statement was cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application, and, to the knowledge of the person signing this certification after making reasonable inquiry, no item of information contained in the information disclosure statement was known to any individual designated in § 1.56(c) more than three months prior to the filing of the information disclosure statement. This Statement is not a representation that the cited references have effective dates early enough to be "prior art" within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. sections 102 or 103.

#### **CITED REFERENCES**


##### **U.S. Patent Documents**


<b>Document Number</b>	<b>Date</b>	<b>Name</b>
5,815,664	09-29-98	Asano
6,032,019	02-29-00	Chen et al.
6,442,158	08-27-02	Beser

Respectfully submitted,

**McDonnell, Boehnen, Hulbert & Berghoff**

Date: December 16, 2002

By:   
**Julian F. Santos**  
**Registration No. 47,917**



FORM PTO-1449  
(Rev. 2-32)

U.S. Department of Commerce  
Patent and Trademark Office

Atty. Docket No.

98-666

Serial No.

09/217,347

**EIGHTH SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION DISCLOSURE  
STATEMENT BY APPLICANT**  
(Use several sheets if necessary)

**RECEIVED**

**DEC 18 2002**

**Technology Center 2600**

**Applicant:**

John G. Fijolek et al.

**Filing Date:**

December 21, 1998

**Group:**

2611

**U.S. PATENT**

Examiner Initial		Document Number	Date	Name	Class	Subclass	Filing Date if Appropriate
<i>AK</i>	1.	5,815,664	09-29-98	Asano	395	200.57	03-19-96
<i>AK</i>	2.	6,032,019	02-29-00	Chen et al.	455	5.1	01-20-99
<i>AK</i>	3.	6,442,158	08-27-02	Beser	370	352	05-27-98

**OTHER DOCUMENTS (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc).**

EXAMINER	Andrew Y Koenig	DATE CONSIDERED 4/6/03

EXAMINER: Initial if citation considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication.